INTERFERENCE DIGEST

| Interference No. | 104,727 | Paper No. 38 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Name: Bruce Roser et a | 1. | |
| Serial No.: 08/923,78 | 3 | Patent No. |
| | | DRPORATING SUBSTANCES WITHIN DRY COMPOSITIONS OBTAINED THEREBY |
| Filed: 09/04/97 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Interference with Bron | shtein | · |
| | DEC | ISION ON MOTIONS |
| Administrative Patent J | udge, | Dated, |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Board of Patent Appeal | F and Interference | TINAL DECISION ces, Fav (Rab/e Dated, 9/3/03 |
| Court, | : | Dated, |
| | | REMARKS |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |

This should be placed in each application or patent involved in interference in addition to the interference letters.

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.



Filed by: Richard E. Schafer

Administrative Patent Judge

Box Interference

Washington, D.C. 20231 Tel: 703-308-9797 Fax: 703-305-0942 Filed: 3 March 2003

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

FAXED

VICTOR BRONSHTEIN, Junior Party, (Patent 5,766,520)

MAR 3 - 2003

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

v.

BRUCE ROSER and ENDA MARTIN GRIBBON, Senior Party (Application 08/923,783).

Patent Interference No. 104,727

FINAL JUDGMENT

A response to an order to show cause why judgment should not be entered against the junior party was due on February 20, 2003. No response having been filed, it is appropriate to enter judgment against the junior party, Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that judgment on priority as to the subject matter of Count 1 (Paper 1, p. 5), is awarded against the junior party, VICTOR BRONSHTEIN;

FURTHER ORDERED that junior party, VICTOR BRONSHTEIN, is not entitled to a patent containing claims 1-14 (corresponding to Count 1) of Patent 5,766,520;

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be made of record in the file of Patent 5,766,520 and in Application 08/923,783; and

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement which has not been filed, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 CFR § 1.661.

RICHARD E. SCHAFER Administrative Patent Judge

RICHARD TORCZON

Administrative Patent Judge

CAROL SPIÉGEL

Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

cc (via FAX):

Attorney for the common assignee (real party in interest Elan Drug Delivery Ltd.):

Debra A. Shetka, Esq.
Thomas E. Ciotti, Esq.
Madeline I. Johnston, Esq.
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP
755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018

Tel: 650-813-5610 Fax: 650-494-0792

E-mail:

dshetka@mofo.com tciotti@mofo.com mjohnston@mofo.com